

Technische Universität München

Robust Design of Sensors and Functions in Vehicular Safety

<u>Christoph Stöckle</u> and Wolfgang Utschick

Professur für Methoden der Signalverarbeitung (MSV)

Motivation



sensors:

- measure quantities like distances, velocities, accelerations, etc.
- serve perception of environment of vehicle
- (vehicular safety) function:
 - uses measurements of sensors
 - interprets driving situation
 - triggers actions in dangerous situations like automatic emergency braking (AEB)
- problem: measurements of sensors are erroneous

function design

How must function be designed such

that it meets customer requirements in a

robust manner despite measurement

errors of given sensors?

goal: function meets customer requirements in a robust manner despite measurement errors

Problem Formulation





Joint Function and Sensor Design for AEB System

 $(\sigma_{\max}, \tau_{opt}) = \underset{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tau \in \mathbb{R}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sigma \quad \text{s.t.} \quad P(x_{\min} \le x_{end} \le x_{\max}) \ge P_{\min}$

- $P(x_{\min} \le x_{end} \le x_{\max})$: probability that distance x_{end} from object after AEB intervention when relative velocity vanishes lies in acceptable interval $[x_{\min}, x_{\max}]$ (quality measure)
- P_{\min} : required minimum probability for fulfilling specification $x_{\min} \le x_{end} \le x_{\max}$
- σ_{max} : maximal tolerable standard deviation of sensor measurement errors
- τ_{opt} : optimal threshold of function

06			
0.0			

sensor design

Which requirements must sensors meet such that given function meets customer requirements in a robust manner despite their measurement errors?

joint function and sensor design

How must function and sensors be designed such that function meets customer requirements in a robust manner despite measurement errors of sensors?

function

Mathematical Model for Design of AEB System

- state: $x[n] = \begin{bmatrix} x[n] \\ v[n] \end{bmatrix}$
- measurement: $y[n] = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}[n] \\ \hat{v}[n] \end{bmatrix}$
 - obtained by sensors with sampling rate f_s at $t_n = n/f_s$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$
 - measured distance: $\hat{x}[n] = x[n] + \epsilon[n]$, i.i.d. $\epsilon[n] \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$



Conclusion

- new methodology for robust design of AEB system considering sensor measurement errors
- future work: transfer of developed design methodology to further vehicular safety systems

References

- measured relative velocity: $\hat{v}[n] = v[n]$
- \rightarrow predicted time-to-collision (TTC): $t_{\text{TTC}}[n] = -\frac{\hat{x}[n]}{\hat{v}[n]}$
- AEB intervention
 - triggered by function at time instant t_n if $t_{\text{TTC}}[n] \leq \tau$ with threshold τ
 - reduces velocity of ego vehicle with constant deceleration a
- $\widehat{x}[n]$, AEB triggering $\hat{v}[n]$ decision function
- [1] J. E. Stellet, P. Vogt, J. Schumacher, W. Branz, and J. M. Zöllner, "Analytical derivation of performance bounds" of autonomous emergency brake systems," in 2016 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV), June 2016, pp. 220–226.
 - [2] W. Utschick, "Ein sequentiell quadratisches Optimierverfahren zur Toleranzanalyse integrierter Schaltungen," Diplomarbeit, TUM, 1993.
 - [3] K. J. Antreich, H. E. Graeb, and C. U. Wieser, "Circuit analysis and optimization driven by worst-case distances," IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 57–71, Jan 1994.



Technische Universität München (TUM) Fakultät für Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik (EI) Professur für Methoden der Signalverarbeitung (MSV)