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## Gaussian graphical models

We consider random vectors $\mathbf{X}=\left(\mathbf{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ with covariance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.
Concentration matrix $\mathbf{K}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$.
A basic property of Gaussian vectors

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathbf{0} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \Perp \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{j}} \mid \mathbf{X}_{[\mathrm{n}] \backslash\{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}\}} .
$$

Concentration graph $\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})=([\mathbf{n}], \mathbf{E})$ has edges

$$
\mathbf{E}=\left\{\mathbf{i} \sim \mathbf{j} \mid K_{\mathbf{i j}} \neq \mathbf{0}\right\} .
$$

## Global Markov property

Fix a graph $\mathbf{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ s.t. $\mathbf{G}=\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.
S separates $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ if any path from $\mathbf{A}$ to $\mathbf{B}$ contains a vertex in $\mathbf{S}$.
Global Markov property: If $\mathbf{S}$ separates $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ in $\mathbf{G}$ then $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{A}} \Perp \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{B}} \mid \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{S}}$.

Generically $\Sigma$ is faithful to $G$ :
If $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{A}} \Perp \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{B}} \mid \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{S}}$ then $\mathbf{S}$ separates $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ in $\mathbf{G}$.

## Learning the structure

We address the problem of structure recovery.
Extensively studied in the high-dimensional setting.
Low sample and time complexity algorithms are in focus.
All algorithms start by computing the sample covariance matrix $S$.
Problem: When $\mathbf{n}$ is large even writing down $S$ is expensive.
Question: Is it possible to recover the graph structure with less than quadratic time complexity?

The question only meaningful if the graph is sparse.

## About the problem formulation

The trade off computational complexity vs statistical accuracy hard to study directly in this setting. We ask an identifiability question:

$$
\text { Can we recover } \mathbf{G}=\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \text { from } \mathbf{o}\left(\mathbf{n}^{2}\right) \text { entries of } \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \text { ? }
$$

(Can we consistently learn $\mathbf{G}$ with access to $\mathbf{o}\left(\mathbf{n}^{2}\right)$ entries of $\mathbf{S}$ ?)
We assume access to data though a covariance oracle.
The oracle takes a pair $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in[\mathbf{n}]$ and outputs $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{ij}}$.
Note: We assume $\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ is connected.
$\boldsymbol{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ queries needed to distinguish empty graph from a single edge.

Algebraic statistics connection

## Separators and rank

$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is consistent with $\mathbf{G}$ if $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathbf{0}$ for all non-edges of $\mathbf{G}$.
Sullivant, Talaska, Draisma (2010): $\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}\right) \leq \mathbf{r}$ for all $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ consistent with $\mathbf{G}$ if and only if $\exists \mathbf{S}$ with $|\mathbf{S}| \leq \mathbf{r}$ separating $\mathbf{A}$ from B in G. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}\right) \leq \min \{|\mathbf{S}|: \mathbf{S} \text { separates } \mathbf{A} \text { and } \mathbf{B}\}
$$

with equality for generic $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ (in this case $\mathbf{G}=\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ ).

We always assume genericity getting:

1. $\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A}, \boldsymbol{B}}\right)$ is the size of a minimal separator.
2. $\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A C}, \mathrm{BC}}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{B}}\right)$ if and only if $\mathbf{C}$ is a subset of a minimal separator.
$\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A C}, \mathbf{B C}}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}\right)$ if and only if $\mathbf{C}$ is a subset of a minimal separator.

Proof: If $\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A C}, \mathbf{B C}}\right)=\mathbf{r}$ then any minimal separator $\mathbf{S}$ of $\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{B} \cup \mathbf{C}$ has $\mathbf{r}$ elements.

Naturally $\mathbf{S}$ is also a separator of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$.
It is a minimal separator of $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ because $\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}\right)=\mathbf{r}$.
Necessarily $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{S}$.

Trees

## Graphical models on trees

When $\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ is a tree $\mathbf{T}$ then for every $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in[\mathbf{n}]$

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{ij}}=\prod_{\mathbf{u v} \in \overline{\mathrm{i}}} \rho_{\mathrm{uv}},
$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$ is the unique path between $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ in $\mathbf{T}$.
This implies that $\mathbf{T}$ is a maximum-weight spanning tree (with weights $\left|\rho_{i j}\right|$ ) that can be found simply (Chow-Liu, 1968)

To run the Chow-Liu algorithm all correlations must be known!

## Recovering trees: divide and conquer

## Randomized algorithm

1. Find a central vertex $\mathbf{v}$
2. Identify the connected components of $\mathbf{T} \backslash\{\mathbf{v}\}$.
3. Recurse.


## Centrality

Centroid of $\mathbf{T}$ is the vertex that minimizes

$$
\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v})=\frac{1}{|\mathbf{V}|-1} \max _{\mathrm{C} \in \mathcal{C}^{v}}|\mathbf{C}|
$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is the set of connected components of $\mathbf{T} \backslash\{\mathbf{v}\}$. (unclear how to find it using covariance queries)

A modified measure of centrality

$$
\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v})=\frac{1}{(|\mathbf{V}|-\mathbf{1})^{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}^{v}}|\mathbf{C}|^{2} .
$$

A modified measure of centrality

$$
\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v})=\frac{1}{(|\mathbf{V}|-1)^{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{C}^{v}}|\mathbf{C}|^{2} .
$$

This can be approximated. For each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ :

1. Sample $\mathbf{k}$ pairs of vertices $\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)$ with replacement.
2. Get $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}$ for $\mathbf{A}=\left\{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}\right\}, \mathbf{B}=\left\{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}\right\}$ and each $\mathbf{i}$.
3. Compute and minimize

$$
\hat{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{v}):=\frac{1}{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{k}} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { are not separated by } \mathbf{v}\right\}}
$$

(separated iff det $\Sigma_{A, B}=0$ )
Note: $\mathbf{k} \hat{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{v}) \sim \operatorname{Bin}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v}))$.

Note: $\mathbf{k} \hat{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{v}) \sim \operatorname{Bin}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v}))$.
Hoeffding inequality gives: $\mathbb{P}(|\hat{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{v})-\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{v})| \geq \delta) \leq \mathbf{2} \exp \left(-\mathbf{2} \delta^{2} \mathbf{k}\right)$
The optimal vertex $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ satisfies

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{c}(\hat{\mathbf{v}})>\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{1 1}}{\mathbf{1 2}}}\right) \leq 2|\mathbf{V}| \exp (-\mathrm{k} / 32) .
$$

This step only requires $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{k}|\mathbf{V}|)$ queries.
Identifying components in $\mathcal{C}^{\hat{v}}$ takes $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{d}|\mathbf{V}|)$ queries.
With probability $\geq \mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, the algorithm recovers the tree using

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(\mathbf{n} \log (\mathbf{n}) \max \left\{\log \left(\frac{\mathbf{n}}{\epsilon}, \mathbf{d}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

## queries.

## General graphs

## Graphs of small treewidth

A richer class of graphs is graphs with bounded treewidth.
Such graphs have small balanced separators: If treewidth $\leq \mathbf{k}$ then $\mathbf{G}$ has a separator $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{V}$ with $|\mathbf{S}| \leq \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}$ and

$$
\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{S}) \leq \frac{\mathbf{1}}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{V}|-\mathbf{k}}{|\mathbf{V}|-(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1})}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{S})=\frac{1}{|\mathbf{V} \backslash \mathbf{S}|} \max _{\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{S}}}|\mathbf{C}|
$$

Find a small balanced separator $\mathbf{S}$ and identify components of $\mathbf{V} \backslash \mathbf{S}$.


## Identifying small separators

1. Take a random sample $\mathbf{W}$ of $\mathbf{m}$ vertices of $\mathbf{G}$. $(\mathbf{m}=\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{k}))$
2. Find $\mathbf{S} \subset \mathbf{V}$ with $|S| \leq k+1$ that is a balanced separator of $\mathbf{W}$.
3. Argue that $\mathbf{S}$ is a balanced separator of $\mathbf{G}$ as well.
$S$ balanced separator


## A balanced separator of $W$

Recall: $\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A C}, \mathbf{B C}}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}\right)$ if and only if $\mathbf{C}$ is a subset of a minimal separator.

Exhaustively search through $2^{\mathcal{O}((\mathrm{k}))}$ approximately balanced partitions $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ of $W, \max (|\mathbf{A}|,|\mathbf{B}|) \leq(2 / 3)|\mathbf{W}|$.

Pick any leading to the minimal $\mathbf{r}=\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}\right) \leq \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}$ and then:

1. Determine all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{G}$ with $\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{A v}, \mathrm{Bv}}\right)$ (v lies in some minimal separator of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ )
2. Starting from $\mathbf{S}=\emptyset$ gradually add vertices from 1 . that satisfy $\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{ASv}, \mathrm{BSv}}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}}\right)$
Next we argue that, with high probability, $\mathbf{S}$ is a balanced separator of $\mathbf{G}$ as well.

## VC dimenion

The argument hinges on Vapnik-Chervonenkis theory.
The small sample $\mathbf{W}$ is a good representative of the entire graph. Lemma (Feige and Mahdian, 2006) For any graph G, let $\mathcal{F}_{\text {s }}$ the set of all connected components of $\mathbf{G} \backslash \mathbf{S}$ and their complements in $\mathbf{V} \backslash \mathbf{S}$ and define

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}:=\bigcup_{\mathbf{s}:|\mathbf{S}| \leq \mathbf{k}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{S}}
$$

Then the VC-dimension of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is at most $\mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{k}$.

The VC-inequality implies that for all sets $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}$,

$$
\frac{|\mathbf{C}|}{|\mathbf{V}|}-\delta \leq \frac{|\mathbf{W} \cap \mathbf{C}|}{|\mathbf{W}|} \leq \frac{|\mathbf{C}|}{|\mathbf{V}|}+\delta
$$

whenever $|\mathbf{W}|=\tilde{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{k} / \delta^{2}\right)$.

## Connected components

Once a small balanced separator $\mathbf{S}$ is found, we determine $\mathcal{C}^{\boldsymbol{S}}$.
This can be done in linear time by checking ranks.
As for trees, we require small degree (although this can be relaxed).

## Recursing in the connected components

Once the conn. components of $\mathbf{G} \backslash \mathbf{S}$ are known, we may recurse.
GMs are not closed under taking margins [Frydenberg, 1990].


## Conditional covariances

A basic property of Gaussian graphical models (Lauritzen, 1996): If $\mathbf{S}$ separates $\mathbf{C}$ from the rest of $\mathbf{G}$, then $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{C}}=\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{C} \mid \mathrm{S}}\right)^{-\mathbf{1}}$.

Note: As we recurse the conditioning set increases. However, recursion depth is $\mathcal{O}(\log (\mathbf{n}))$ and separators are small.

## Main result

Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ be generic and let $\mathbf{G}=\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ be such that $\operatorname{tw}(\mathbf{G}) \leq \mathbf{k}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(\mathbf{G}) \leq \mathbf{d}$. Then, with probability at least $\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, the recursive algorithm reconstructs $\mathbf{G}$ with
$\mathcal{O}\left(\left(\mathbf{2}^{\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{k} \log \mathrm{k})}+\mathbf{d k} \log \mathbf{n}\right) \cdot \mathbf{k}^{2} \mathbf{n} \cdot \log ^{3} \mathbf{n} \cdot \log \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\epsilon}\right)$
queries $\left(\operatorname{or} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{d}, \epsilon}\left(\mathbf{n} \log ^{4}(\mathbf{n})\right)\right)$.
The computational complexity is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{d}, \epsilon}\left(\mathbf{n} \log ^{5}(\mathbf{n})\right)$.
We actually recover $\mathbf{K}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$, not just the concentration graph.

## Further questions

- Imperfect oracle.
- Robustness.
- Non-gaussian models.
- Property testing.


## Thank you!

